

Anomalous delta-type electric and magnetic two-nucleon interactions

Nicolae Bogdan Mandache*

National Institute for Lasers, Plasma and Radiation Physics,
Magurele-Bucharest, POBox MG-36, Romania

Abstract

Anomalous delta-type interactions, of both electric and magnetic nature, are introduced between the overlapping peripheral structures of the nucleons, which may explain the spin-triplet deuteron state and the absence of other nucleon-nucleon bound states.

PACS: *21.45.Bc; 13.75.Cs; 21.10.Dr*

Keywords: *Deuteron; Two-nucleon States; Residual Electromagnetic Interaction*

As evidenced by deuteron, the $p-n$ interaction favours the coupling of the proton and neutron intrinsic spins to $S=1$ (lower energy) rather than $S=0$, which is in striking contrast to the like-nucleon residual interaction (pairing) that favours $S=0$. [1] As it is well known, a spin dependent nuclear potential is introduced (the triplet potential is greater in absolute value than the singlet potential) to explain why the $n-p$ triplet state is bound in contrast to unbound $n-p$, $n-n$ and $n-p$ singlet states, which eventually solves the above contradiction. [1]

The magnetic momentum of the proton ($+2.79\mu_N$, μ_N being the nuclear magneton) and the magnetic momentum of the neutron ($-1.91\mu_N$) exhibit in deuteron an "antiferromagnetic" alignment. This is in contrast with the hydrogen atom, where, by hyperfine interaction, the magnetic momentum of the electron ($-1\mu_B$, μ_B being the Bohr magneton) gets parallel with the magnetic momentum of the proton, and the ground-state of the hydrogen is a spin singlet (para-hydrogen).

We suggest in this short letter a possible explanation for such a discrepancy, which may also throw light on the inexistence of other nucleon-nucleon bound states than the deuteron.

According to the laws of the electromagnetism and to our classical representation of the magnetic (and electric) momenta, it is very likely that the magnetic interaction is ferromagnetic at large distances, *i.e.* it favours the parallel alignment of the magnetic momenta. Similarly, by the same arguments, it is very likely that this interaction is antiferromagnetic, *i.e.* it favours the anti-parallel

*email: mandache@infim.ro

alignment of the magnetic momenta, at very short distances. It looks therefore as a delta-type interaction.

For the electron the ferromagnetic alignment is favourable, even at close range to the proton, in view of its point-like structure. This may explain the spin-singlet ground-state of the hydrogen.

For nucleons in nuclei, the situation is different. The spatial extension of the nucleons is well documented, as it is their compact packing in nuclei. A typical example for antiferromagnetic alignment could be the pairing interaction. Every pair of like nucleons in the same orbit (the wave functions of the two nucleons strongly overlap) couple to spin zero and zero magnetic moment for the lowest energy state.

There are some other puzzles related to this tight packing of the nucleons in atomic nuclei, like, for instance, the difference in the lifetimes of the free neutron and the bound neutron.

In deuteron there is a substantial overlapping between the peripheral nucleonic clouds put together around the central nucleonic cores, reminiscent of the molecular orbitals,[2] which favours a strong residual delta-interaction. The high density cores of proton and neutron placed each other at a distance of $2fm$, in the central part of deuteron, interact electro-magnetically much weaker.

According to recent trends in conceiving the internal spin structure of the nucleons, it seems very likely that the anomalous magnetic momenta ($+1.79\mu_N$ for proton and $-1.91\mu_N$ for neutron) are to a great extent peripherally distributed. The pion contribution to the magnetic momenta of the nucleons was estimated to $+1\mu_N$ for proton and $-1\mu_N$ for neutron as arising in the peripheral cloud.[3, 4] These anomalous magnetic momenta are therefore very prone to undergo an antiferromagnetic delta-interaction, which would explain thus the spin-triplet ground-state of the deuteron and the absence of the spin-singlet proton-neutron bound state.

At the same time, the electromagnetic nature of the magnetic momenta suggests also a peripheral delta -type electric (coulomb) interaction between the nucleons. The spatial extension of the nucleons imply both a spatial distribution of electric charge and magnetic momenta.[3]-[7] It is estimated that the negative charge in neutron extends from $1fm$ to $2-3fm$, the core being positively charged (such that the overall charge vanishes).[2, 3] A similar but positive charge extension holds for proton (it is worth recalling here the approximate diameter of cca $4fm$ of the deuteron).

Hence the delta-type magnetic interaction is attractive for the $n-p$ triplet and the $n-n$ and $p-p$ singlet states, and is repulsive for the $n-p$ singlet state. The delta-type electric (coulomb) interaction is attractive for the $n-p$ triplet and singlet states and repulsive for the $n-n$ and $p-p$ singlet states. Consequently the most attractive is the $n-p$ triplet state, the only one with both delta-type interactions attractive.

Based on these observations and assuming that the nuclear interaction is the same for all the four states we may express the nucleon-nucleon interaction by means of three energies. The energy E_N associated with the strong nuclear force (nucleons kinetic energy minus the nuclear potential well) and two anomalous

delta-type interaction energies, one electrical denoted by E_e and another magnetic denoted by E_m . We assume that E_m and E_e , respectively, are the same for the $n-p$, $n-n$ and $p-p$, taking into account that the anomalous magnetic moments of proton and neutron are comparable in absolute values. This means that the fractions of electric charges and magnetic moments carried by the peripheral parts of proton and neutron are approximately equal in absolute values and of opposite signs.

The nucleon-nucleon relevant energies are the binding energy $-2.22MeV$ for the triplet proton-neutron state (deuteron), the energy $+0.07MeV$ for the singlet proton-neutron state and the energy $+0.15MeV$ for the singlet neutron-neutron and proton-proton states (after removing of course the proton-proton Coulomb repulsion between point-like charges).[4, 7] We may write therefore:

$$-E_e - E_m + E_N = -2.22MeV \text{ (} n-p \text{ triplet)}$$

$$-E_e + E_m + E_N = 0.07MeV \text{ (} n-p \text{ singlet)}$$

$$+E_e - E_m + E_N = 0.15MeV \text{ (} n-n \text{ and } p-p \text{ singlets)}$$

From these equations we get $E_e = 1.19MeV$, $E_m = 1.15MeV$ and $E_N = 0.11MeV$. Since E_N is positive it follows that all four basic states are slightly unbound by nuclear force (the kinetic energy of the two nucleons slightly exceeds the nuclear potential well). The two anomalous delta-type magnetic and electric interactions make the difference between bound and unbound two-nucleon states.

The proton-proton and neutron-neutron magnetic delta-interaction introduced here is analogous to the corresponding pairing interaction which couples the like nucleons to the state of zero total angular momentum and zero magnetic moment, like in magic nuclei for instance.[1] It is worth noting that the strength derived here for this magnetic interaction, $E_m = 1.15MeV$, is comparable in magnitude to the pairing interaction (cca $1MeV$).

In conclusion, we put forward herein the hypothesis that anomalous delta-type electric and magnetic interactions may arise between the overlapping peripheral parts of the nucleons, and analyzed its consequences. It is shown that such a hypothesis may explain the deuteron bound state and the inexistence of other nucleon-nucleon bound states, assuming an equal nuclear interaction for all four states.

Acknowledgments. The author expresses his sincere thanks to M. Apostol for valuable conversations and suggestions, and for help in making a clear exposition of these ideas.

References

- [1] R. F. Casten, *Nuclear Structure from a Simple Perspective*, 2nd edition, Oxford (2000).
- [2] T. Ericson and W. Weise, *Pions and Nuclei*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1988).

- [3] G. E. Brown and H. Myhrer, Phys. Lett. **B128**, 229 (1983).
- [4] K. N. Muhin, *Experimentalnaia Iadernaia Fizika* (in Russian), Atomizdat, Moscow (1974).
- [5] A. W. Thomas, S. Theberge and G. A. Miller, Phys. Rev. **D24** 216 (1981).
- [6] H. Schmid, Nucl. Phys. **A663-664** 21c (2000) (*15th International Conference on Particles and Nuclei*, Upssala, 2000)
- [7] S. S. M. Wong, *Introductory Nuclear Physics*, 2nd Ed, Wiley (1998).